

Sri RAMAKRISHNHA HEGDE.—Sir, according to chapter V para (22) of the Cattle Trespass Manual, Village Panchayets can take over cattle pounds at present managed by the Revenue Department by negotiations, purchase or laese. Therefore, it is necessary before we transfer these cattle pounds that the concerned village panchayet should give their consent.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಪಿ. ಫಾಯದೆ.—ಪಂಚಾಯತಿ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಂಡೀಷನ್ ಏನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಕಂಡೀಷನ್ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಲ್ಲ. ಕೆಲವು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಮ್ಯಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟರ ಹತೋಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಅವನ್ನು ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಪಂಚಾಯತಿಗಳ ಆದಳಿತಕ್ಕೊಳಪಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥವುಗಳ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ತೊಂದರೆಯಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA.—What is the income from these cattle pounds ?

Sri RAMAKRISHNHA HEGDE.—I am afraid it does not arise out of the question. The income has never been asked here.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ರೆವೆನ್ಯೂ ಇರಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವವು ಪ್ರತಿನಿತ್ಯ ಯಾರ ಮೂಲಕ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಪೋಲೀಸ್ ಪೆಟೆರ್ ಮೂಲಕ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಅವು ಈಗ ಯಾರಿಗೆ ಸೇರುತ್ತವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಪ್ಪ.—ಪೆಟೆರಿ ಆಫೀಸು ಹೊರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಯಾರು ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯ್ತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ವರ್ಗ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣನಿರಪ್ಪ.—ಪಂಚಾಯ್ತಿ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬಂದಿದ್ದರೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರವೇ ದೊಡ್ಡಿಗಳನ್ನು ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಉತ್ತರ ಕನ್ನಡ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ೨ ರೀತಿಯ ದನವೆ ದೊಡ್ಡಿಗಳಿವೆ. ಲೋಕರ ಬೋರ್ಡಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿರುವುದೊಂದು ಮತ್ತು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಮ್ಯಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟರ ಆದಳಿತಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿರುವುದು ಮತ್ತೊಂದು. ಔರ್ಮಾ ಮಟ್ಟದಲ್ಲಿರುವುದನ್ನು ವರ್ಗ ಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ವಶದಲ್ಲಿರುವುದನ್ನು ವರ್ಗ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

Sri G. VENKTAI GOWDA.—I wish to know whether Government has secured any uniformity in regard to the management of cattle pounds throughout the State ?

Sri RAMAKRISHNHA HEGDE.—That is under the consideration of the Government.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಪಂಚಾಯತಿ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ದನಗಳ ದೊಡ್ಡಿಗಳ ಉತ್ಪತ್ತಿಯನ್ನು

ಪಂಚಾಯತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿರುವುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯವಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ದನಗಳ ದೊಡ್ಡಿಗಳು ಅವುಗಳ ವಶದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದುಪೋ ಅವುಗಳ ಉತ್ಪತ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಪಂಚಾಯತಿಗೆ ವಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾದ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬಂದದಾಗಾಯ್ತು ದೊಡ್ಡಿಗಳ ಉತ್ಪತ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಪಂಚಾಯತಿಗೆ ವಹಿಸುವುದನ್ನು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ತಮ್ಮ ನೂಚನೆ ಯನ್ನು ಗಮನಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Questions No. 372.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—The Chair may be pleased to put question, No. 372. Sir. This is an important question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—In the absence of written authority I cannot permit anybody to put the question. I must apologise to the House. The Hon'ble Members would have kindly seen that the printed answer to (c) is "Yes, from 13th November 1962." I was surprised and I had the misfortune of asking the Minister how such a mistake had been committed by the Department. I made further enquiries. This is a printing mistake and I am responsible for that. I will see that in future the Press will not commit any such mistakes and I shall see that the matter is printed correctly. The House is entitled to get absolutely correct and precise information.

I now put question No. 372.

Elections to Mangalore and Udupi Municipalities.

*Q.—372. **Sri GOPALA SALENNIA (Mudabidri).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the reasons for not conducting the elections to Mangalore and Udupi Municipalities ;

(b) when will the Government hold elections to these Municipalities ;

(c) whether they are aware that the Udupi Municipality with elected Councillors has ceased to function ; and if so, since what date ?

A.—Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Minister for Municipal Administration).—

(a) Mangalore and Udupi Municipalities :

The term of office of the Councillors of both the Municipalities expired on the noon of 1st November 1961. The term was extended up to the noon of 1st day of February 1962 for the following reasons :—

(1) Due to heavy rains there was unprecedented havoc both to the life and property. The officers of the Municipalities and the Councillors and the staff were busily engaged with the relief work.

(2) The Municipal staff were very busy in connection with the intensive revision of electoral rolls for the general election in 1962.

(b) Mangalore Municipality :—before 1st November 1962.

Udupi Municipality :—as early as possible but before 1st November 1961.

(c) Yes. From 13th November 1962.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—With your kind permission, I would like to correct another mistake in part (b) of the answer you find “1st November 1962” is mentioned; that is also a mistake. With your kind permission, I would like to add to answer (a) the following :

“The term of the Mangalore Municipal council was again extended up to end of May 1962 for the reasons that the staff was busy in connection with the general elections to the Lok-sabha, and to the State Legislative Assembly of 1962. The Ballot boxes were also not available for the use of the Municipal Council. So, again the term was extended up to noon of 1st Novemer 1962 in Notification No. PLN 6—CE 62, dated 12th April 1962 for the reason that the time left was too short to get electoral rolls printed, after revising and splitting up the existing rolls as there were a number of complaints that a large number of persons are left out in the electoral roll.”

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—Did not election take place any where else for the municipal Council in the State ?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—During that period ?

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—Yes.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—No.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—May I know whether these extensions were given by executive act or whether the approval of this House has been taken for the continuance of the term by means of a Bill ?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—The Hon'ble Member may be aware that the Government have got power to extend the term up to a limit of one year. In exercise of those powers, Government have extended the period from time to time and it does not exceed one year.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know what were the compelling reasons to extend the term of this Municipal Council from time to time, Sir ?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—May I submit to you Sir, that the answer has been given in detail already.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—(a) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ “Due to heavy rains there was unprecedented havoc both to the life and property.” ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿರುವುದು, ನಡೆದದ್ದು ಯಾವ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಜುಲೈ, ಆಗಸ್ಟ್ ಮತ್ತು ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರಿನಲ್ಲಿ.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—Are we to take it that because the Municipal Chairman became a Member of this House and so whether that constituted the compelling reason to extend the term ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—He was not a member at that time.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಚುನಾವಣೆ ನಡೆಸಲು ಒಬ್ಬರಾಗ ಪಟ್ಟ ತಯಾರಾಗಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಅದನ್ನು ಖಚಿತವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ನವೆಂಬರ್ ಒಂದು ರೊಳಗೆ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ನಡೆಸಲು ಏರ್ಪಾಡಾಗಿದೆ.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—The Hon'ble Minister was pleased to say that flood havoc took place in August and September, May I know when the relief operations were completed ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Relief operations have no relevance here. This question relates to Municipal elections. There is no question of flood relief operations.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—Sir, the Hon'ble Minister mentioned that that was one of the reasons why elections could not be conducted. I want to know when this flood relief work was completed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is only a reason for not holding elections. The primary point in this question is with regard to the holding or otherwise of an election and not with regard to the amount spent and the period taken and the number of officers posted for Flood Relief operations.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—My contention is that there was enough time for the Government to order fresh elections. The fact there were heavy rains does not appear to be a satisfactory or compelling reason.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Then the Hon'ble Member must put his question this way:

Was not there time enough for conducting the elections after the operations were over? He should not go on asking when relief operations ended and so on.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—That is my question, Sir.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Let the Hon'ble Minister answer it, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member should not intervene in this manner. He did not ask for any information. It does not add any grace to the Hon'ble Member or the House or to me.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—The flood havoc occurred in the months of July, August and September. The relief work must have continued up to December or January because this havoc was very severe.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Ordinarily, what is the time required for preparing electoral rolls in any municipality?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—That depends upon the size of the town and the number of officials employed for oral rolls.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—What is the time required for the Mangalore Municipality, which is a city municipality, to prepare the electoral rolls?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Definitely it requires at least 3 months. I say this from my experience of other city municipalities.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—When only three months were required, why did Government take twelve months for this purpose?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—I have already submitted to the House the circumstances that necessitated the extension of its term from time to time. There was some reason or the other which prevented this work being taken up. I do not think the Leader of the Opposition wants me to reiterate them in detail once again.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—May I ask the Government whether the clerks and the ministerial staff were also busily engaged in relief works? I can understand the field staff or the executive staff being engaged in relief works but what were the clerks doing, if they were not doing the electoral work?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am grateful to the Member for these illuminating remarks, but after putting the main question he must sit down so that the Minister may answer it.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—I am surprised at the question. The staff engaged in direction of the work relating to preparation of electoral rolls would ordinarily be engaged in emergent relief operations. Even such staff will have to be assisted by the ministerial staff also. They might be sitting in the office but even they were more concerned with relief work than with the ordinary, routine work.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಉಡುಪಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಮದ್ರಾಸ್ ಲೋಕಲ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್ ಮತ್ತು ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟೀಸ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಅನ್ವಯಿಸುತ್ತದೆಯೇ ಅಥವಾ ಮೈಸೂರು ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಗಳ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಅನ್ವಯಿಸುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಮೈಸೂರು ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಗಳ ಆಕ್ಟ್.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಉಡುಪಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿ ಯನ್ನು 1-11-1961ಕ್ಕೆ ಬರಪಾನಾ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

—Mr. **SPEAKER**.—There is no question of dissolution. Please do not imagine things which are not there. The answer need not be given. All members have resigned. It is not supersession.

Sri A. KRISHNA SETTY.—All members have not resigned.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is what is found.

Sri A. KRISHNA SETTY.—It is not correct.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Then the Hon'ble Member is contributing a new idea. He said that it had been dissolved. There is no order of supersession. Let him produce the concerned Government Order.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜಿ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು ಮೇಲೆ, ಗಾಳಿ ಬಂದು ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ನಡೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಷ್ಟವಾಯಿತು, ಜೊತೆಗೆ ಮಹಾ ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಳ ಎಲೆಕ್ಷೋರಲ್ ಪಟ್ಟಿ ತಯಾರು ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಯಿತು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಮಹಾ ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಳು ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದಮೇರೆ ಇವತ್ತಿನವರೆಗೂ ಈ ಚುನಾವಣೆಯನ್ನು ನಡೆಸದಿರಲು ಕಾರಣವೇನು? ಇದು ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರಭುತ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡಲಿಯ ಪಟ್ಟು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಹಾಗಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರಭುತ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡಲಿಯ ಪಟ್ಟಾಯಿತೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಅವರು ಹೇಳಬೇಕು. ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಚುನಾವಣೆಯಾದ ಮೇರೆ ಯಾವ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ extend ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಯಿತೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Next question. Because it was important, I put it from the Chair. Having given the indulgence of taking more than eight minutes, it is not fair that this should go on, because there are other questions also.

Formation of River Boards for various River Valleys in India.

*Q.—384. **Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI** (Afzalpur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they are aware that the Government of India propose to form River Boards for the various river valleys in India;

(b) if the answer to (a) is in the affirmative, whether they have consulted the State Government about the formation of such Boards for the rivers like Godavary and Krishna;

(c) if the answer to (b) above is yes, what advice has the State Government tendered to the Central Government?

A.—**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA** (Chief Minister).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) Mysore Government have requested Government of India to defer the appointment to the River Boards until the settlement of the question of equitable allocation of the river waters.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—May I know if it is the view of the Central Government that inter-State rivers should be a source of integration and therefore they want that the developmental plans should be prepared river-wise and not State-wise and is that the reason they want to set-up these river boards?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Of course, with a view to exploit the rivers to the fullest advantage of all the States concerned, they want to have these river boards.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—What is the suggested function and the constitution of the Board?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is too well-known. If my friend wants to know, I will just read it out to him: Control and regulation of inter-State rivers, to deal effectively with problems in respect of irrigation, Hydel, power channel, flood control, soil conservation water-letting, drainage, navigation etc., etc.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—May I know whether these boards will settle or resolve disputes between States and allocate the waters equitably between them?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I do not think so, Sir.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Is it not a fact that river boards are being contemplated to settle equitably the question of allocation of inter-State river waters?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—That question is being considered by the Gulhati Commission. Until the question